

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/788,989	. 02/27/2004	Daniel G. O'Neil	50037.229US01	6860
		7590 12/20/200 & GOULD (MICROSC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			•	LUU, MATTHEW	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MIN 35402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3663	•
_					<u> </u>
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS . 12/20/2006		12/20/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/788,989	O'NEIL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Luu Matthew	3663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply extended by the Office later than three months after the management of the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>00</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allowed	his action is non-final.	prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-9,14,16,17 and 25-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6-9,14,16,17 and 25-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) D Notice 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date				

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon review of Applicant's amendments to the claims, it is noted that a restriction requirement is warranted. Any inconvenience to Applicant is regretted.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 6-8, 26 and 29, drawn to a method for using a color scheme, classified in class 345, subclass 593.
 - II. Claims 9, 14, 16-17, 27 and 30, drawn to (a system with software element instantiates when the incoming event notification associated with the software element is received), classified in class 455, subclass 566.
 - III. Claims 18, 25, 28 and 31, drawn to (a system with means for associating a color scheme with the software application to indicate a brand and to indicate that the function of the soft key is associated with the hardware button), classified in class 715, subclass 840.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II/III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

Art Unit: 3663

and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a display system for displaying different display color codes according to the degrees of weather temperature.

4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of invention II has separate utility such as a system with software element instantiates when the incoming event notification associated with the software element is received without the software application to indicate a brand and to indicate that the function of the soft key is associated with the hardware button. In other word, each one of the inventions recited in groups II and III is separately usable in a system not having the other. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the

Art Unit: 3663

present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.
- 6. Upon election of invention I, II, or III, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
 - A. Wherein the incoming event notification is associated with an incoming call (claims 7, 16, or 25)
 - B. Wherein the incoming event notification is associated with a guide for user action (claims 26, 27, or 28)
 - C. Wherein the incoming event notification is associated with a calendar reminder (claims 29, 30, or 31)

Art Unit: 3663

7. Upon election of invention A, B, or C, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect on of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

(i) Wherein the illuminating element is a tri-colored light emitting diode (e.g. claims 6 and 14).

Page 5

- (ii) Wherein the illuminating element is an electro-luminescence light.
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement (e.g., I, A, and (i)), and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/788,989 Page 6

Art Unit: 3663

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

PRIMARY EXAMINER